BLS Response to CNSTAT Recommendations: The Road Ahead

Adam Safir

December 14, 2012



Presentation Goals

- 1. Gemini Project Overview
- 2. BLS Response to CNSTAT Recommendations
- 3. The Road Ahead



GEMINI OVERVIEW



Redesign Objectives

- Why redesign:
 - Evidence of measurement error
 - Changes in technology and spending behaviors
 - Need for greater flexibility
- Stated objective:
 - Verifiable reduction in measurement error, with a particular focus on underreporting



Redesign Process

- Stage 1: Gather
 - Identify user needs
 - Gather external input
 - Define data quality
- Stage 2: Decide
 - Data requirements, design decisions
 - Develop research roadmap
- Stage 3: Implement
 - **Test**
 - ► Implement
 - Evaluate



Redesign Constraints

- Final survey has to meet Office of Prices and Living Conditions (OPLC) requirements
 - Provide required data for CPI
 - Address other user needs as possible
- No increase in survey data collection and processing costs
- Maintain or improve response rates



Redesign Challenges

- Defining survey requirements
 - Identifying varied user needs
 - Balancing requirements with respondent task
- Maintaining ongoing research efforts while making redesign decisions
- Keeping up with technology
- Funding uncertainties



BLS RESPONSE TO CNSTAT RECOMMENDATIONS



Key Inputs

- Recognizing there was much we needed to decide but not unlimited time or money for empirical evidence, CE looked to several sources for inputs
- Expert panels
- External events
 - Outreach for redesign
 - Data users forum
 - Collecting information and advice
- Ongoing research on key topics
- CNSTAT



CNSTAT Panel

- CNSTAT Consensus Panel contracted to conduct workshops, evaluate CE and come up with design recommendations
- Tasked with:
 - Synthesizing information
 - Creating a menu of comprehensive design options with flexibility to allow for variations in budget and resources
 - Recommend future research
 - Evaluate the benefits, costs, and risks



CNSTAT Report

- Concurrence on main issues facing CE, validation of past work and future plans
- Three design proposals
- Cost estimates
- Twelve recommendations, specific ideas that will be useful throughout the redesign, including
 - Prioritize CE data uses for redesign trade-offs
 - Pursue a long-term research agenda and research sample
 - Engage outside experts in app development
- Support for additional resources



Concurrence with Panel's Recommendations

- 6-01 Prioritize CE Data Uses for Redesign Trade-offs
- 6-02 Implement a Major Redesign (\$)
- 6-03 Fund Several Major Feasibility Studies (\$)
- 6-04 Sync Reference Periods for Exp & Non-Exp Items
- 6-05 Use Tablet for Self-Administration (w/Paper) (\$)
- 6-06 Develop a Redesign Roadmap within 6 Months
- 6-07 Use Incentives (\$)
- 6-08 Pursue a Long-Term Research Agenda
- 6-09 Increase Size & Capability of Research Staff (\$)
- 6-10 Engage Outside Experts in App Dev (\$)
- 6-11 Target Research on CNSTAT Recommended Topics
- 6-12 Fund a Methods Panel (or Research Sample) (\$)



Promising Design Features

- One sample design
- Flexible recall periods & interview structure
- Increased use of technology, e.g., tablets
- Use of tech to encourage 'in the moment' reporting
- Increased reliance on self-administration
- Increased use of records
- Reduce proxy reporting
- Mixed mode data collection
- Large incentives
- Modular design, with a core survey



THE ROAD AHEAD



Gemini Design Team

- Interagency team
- Review and synthesize existing research
- Evaluating multiple inputs
 - CNSTAT report
 - Westat redesign proposals
 - Staff input
- Final output is single redesign recommendation
- Team has begun making preliminary decisions
 - High level decisions to be completed in January



Decision Process

- Making decisions
 - Requires facilitation and organization, e.g., identifying what we can decide based on what we know now, versus what we need more information about
- Arriving at consensus and staying there
 - Documenting the *what* and *why* crucial
- Deciding on data priorities has been critical
 - ▶ 1st version of CE priorities released in May, 2011
 - ▶ 2nd version forthcoming
 - Cannot do everything for everyone



Guiding Principles

- Keep it simple
- Make it work for all types of respondents
- Reduce measurement error
- Keep costs neutral & do not harm response rates
- Increase flexibility
 - Content
 - ► Mixed-mode
 - ► Technology
- Seek "proactive" data keeping
- Allow for "future enhancements"



Select Consensus Design Decisions

■ No:

- Administrative data to replace survey data
- Event history calendar
- Large-scale matrix sampling (in the initial iteration)

■ Yes:

- Census Bureau as data collection provider
- ► Multi-mode surveying
- Individual surveying
- Upcoming issues TBD:
 - ► Technology
 - Proactive vs. retrospective recall



Design Team Timeline

- Jul 2012 Kick-off
- Oct 2012 Data collection recommendations, by quex group
- Nov 2012 Redesign proposal outline (i.e., table shell)
- Nov 2012 Summarize independent design proposals
- Dec 2012 Census, BLS staff redesign ideas
- Jan 2013 High level decisions re: survey redesign elements
- Feb 2013 Present update at CE Annual Meeting
- Feb 2013 Detailed view of proposed redesign elements
- Mar 2013 Draft redesign proposal report
- Jun 2013 Final redesign proposal report



Redesign Timeline

Year	Major Tasks
2009-2010	Research database Define user needs Define data quality
2010 – 2012	Information gathering - Events, outreach, CNSTAT Panel
2013	Deliver redesign proposal Develop research roadmap Assess user impact
2014 - 2018	Feasibility testing (FY13-15) Pilot testing (FY16-17)
2019 – 2023	Development, training, implementation Evaluation



Concluding Thoughts

- A major survey redesign is time consuming, expensive, and stressful, but also very exciting
- Clear objectives, careful planning, and constant communication can really help the process
- CNSTAT's report and recommendations are a key part of the redesign development process and have added much value
- CE has come a long way, and still has a long way to go, but we're optimistic about concluding with a much improved survey design



Questions for FESAC

- Feedback on CE's redesign progress and plans?
- Advice or suggestions for prioritizing and addressing multiple design decisions (e.g. incentives, mode, content) within the same research series?
- If only able to do one major field test of the final design, how to make decisions based on smaller scale tests?



THANK YOU

